arXiv:1409.4517v2 [hep-th] 31 Jan 2015

A Solvable Model for Fermion Masses on a Warped 6D World with the Extra 2D Sphere

Akira Kokado*
Kobe International University, Kobe 658-0032, Japan

Takesi Saito†
Department of Physics, Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda 669-1337, Japan

(Dated: February 3, 2015)

In a warped 6D world with an extra 2-dimensional sphere, we propose an exactly solvable model for fermion masses with zero mode. The warp factor is given by $\phi(\theta,\varphi) = \sin\theta\cos\varphi$, which is a solution to the 6D Einstein equation with the bulk cosmological constant Λ and the energy-momentum tensor of the bulk matter fields. Our model provides another possibility of obtaining fermion zero mode, rather than traditional model based on Dirac's monopole.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Kk, 04.50.-h, 11.25.Mj

I. INTRODUCTION

The 6-dimensional space is particularly interested in unified theories in higher-dimensions. The extra 2-dimensional compact space generates some useful gauge symmetries for various fields [1, 2].

In this article we specially confine ourselves to the problem of fermionic masses when the extra 2-dimensional surface is a sphere. In the case where the 4-dimensional space is Minkowskian, we encounter a serious theorem that there is no zero mode in a 4-dimensional fermionic field [1, 2]. This is well known in the spectrum of the Dirac operator on the 2D sphere where we have the SU(2) symmetry. This theorem can be generalized to any internal space with a positive curvature. Since it is desirable that we have a zero mode in unified theories at least at the first symmetric stage, this theorem is unwelcome. To overcome this difficulty it has been considered to introduce a gauge field. When the gauge field has Dirac's monopole, we get the zero mode of fermionic fields [3, 4].

As another possibility of obtaining fermion zero mode, we propose an exactly solvable model in the warped 6D world with the extra 2D sphere. The line element of this model is

$$ds^2 = g_{AB}dx^A dx^B = \phi^2(\theta,\varphi)\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu - a^2\big(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2\big)\ , \tag{1.1}$$

where the 4-dimensional metric, $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, has the signature (+,-,-,-), and the extra 2-dimensional surface is a sphere with a constant radius a and the two spherical angles $x^5 = \theta$ and $x^6 = \varphi$. In the following we assume $a << 1$, in order to make KK modes negligible in the present-time energy scale. The warp factor is given by

$$\phi(\theta,\varphi) = \sin\theta\cos\varphi, \tag{1.2}$$

which is a solution to Einstein's equation with the bulk cosmological constant Λ and the energy-momentum tensor of the bulk matter fields. We solve the 6D Dirac equation with a 6D zero-mass in this 6D warped background (1.1). We then find a 4D fermion mass formula and also a zero mode solution.

*Electronic address: kokado@kobe-kiu.ac.jp
†Electronic address: tsaito@k7.dion.ne.jp

There are many other attempts to obtain fermion masses in warped extra dimensions. In Refs [5–16] there are no symmetries like SU(2) in extra dimensions, so that the fermion zero mode is included. In Ref. [17] the 4D fermion coupled with a SU(N) gauge field has been considered. The gauge field spontaneously generates fuzzy extra dimensions, which yield a theory on $M^4 \times S^2$, then resulting a fuzzy zero-mode.

In our case, at first sight the extra 2D sphere seems to be SU(2)-symmetric. However, the warp factor (1.2) has zeros at $\varphi = \pm\pi/2$ and $\theta = 0, \pi$, so that internal wave functions violate the SU(2) symmetry there. This is a reason why our fermion has a zero mode.

In Sec.II the warp factor (1.2) is derived. In Sec.III the Dirac equation in 6D warped background is introduced. In Sec.IV the Dirac equation is solved in the method of separable variables to obtain the 4D fermion mass formula. The final section is devoted to concluding remarks. The Appendix is prepared for constraints coming from the back reaction of the fermion.

II. THE WARP FACTOR

The action of the gravitational system in six dimensions can be written as

$$I = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_6^2}\int d^6x\sqrt{-g}\big(R + 2\Lambda\big) \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where $\kappa_6^2 = 8\pi G_N$ is the six dimensional Newton constant and Λ is the bulk cosmological constant. When we have a stress-energy tensor T_{AB} in the bulk, Einstein equations become

$$R_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}R = \kappa_6^2\big(\Lambda g_{AB} + T_{AB}\big) \ . \tag{2.2}$$

Capital Latin indices run over $A, B, \cdots = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6$.

We look for solutions of Eq.(2.2) with the ansatz for the stress-energy tensor of the bulk matter fields [5, 18, 19]:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu}E(\theta,\varphi), \quad T_{ij} = -g_{ij}P(\theta,\varphi), \quad T_{i\mu} = 0 \ , \tag{2.3}$$

The results are obtained in the following: From Eq.(2.2) we have four equations as

$$\frac{3}{\phi^2}\Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta}\Big)^2 + \frac{3}{\phi}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\theta^2} + \frac{3\cos\theta}{\phi\sin\theta}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta} + \frac{3}{\phi^2\sin^2\theta}\Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\varphi}\Big)^2 + \frac{3}{\phi\sin^2\theta}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\varphi^2} - 1 = \kappa_6^2a^2\big(E - \Lambda\big) \ , \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{6}{\phi^2\sin^2\theta}\Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\varphi}\Big)^2 + \frac{4}{\phi\sin^2\theta}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\varphi^2} + \frac{4\cos\theta}{\phi\sin\theta}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta} + \frac{6}{\phi^2}\Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta}\Big)^2 = \kappa_6^2a^2\big(P - \Lambda\big) \ , \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{6}{\phi^2}\Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\varphi}\Big)^2 + \frac{6\sin^2\theta}{\phi^2}\Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta}\Big)^2 + \frac{4\sin^2\theta}{\phi}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\theta^2} = \kappa_6^2a^2\sin^2\theta\big(P - \Lambda\big) \ , \tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{\cos\theta}{\phi\sin\theta}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\varphi} - \frac{1}{\phi}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\theta\partial\varphi} = 0 \ . \tag{2.7}$$

For such a solution that $\phi(\theta,\varphi) = \Theta(\theta)\Phi(\varphi)$ takes a maximum value 1 at $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\varphi = 0$, the last equation is immediately solved as

$$\Theta(\theta) = C\sin\theta \ . \tag{2.8}$$

Substituting the result into the other three equations above, we get

$$\kappa_6^2a^2 = \frac{10}{\Lambda} \ , \quad \Phi(\varphi) = \cos\varphi \ , \quad C = 1 \ , \tag{2.9}$$

$$E(\theta,\varphi) = \frac{3}{\kappa_6^2a^2\phi^2(\theta,\varphi)} \ , \quad P(\theta,\varphi) = \frac{6}{\kappa_6^2a^2\phi^2(\theta,\varphi)} \ .$$

To sum up we have

$$\phi(\theta,\varphi) = \sin\theta\cos\varphi \ , \tag{2.10}$$

$$T_{AB} = \frac{3\Lambda}{10}\mathrm{dial}\Big(-1,1,1,1,\frac{2a^2}{\phi^2},\frac{2a^2\sin^2\theta}{\phi^2}\Big) \ . \tag{2.11}$$

III. THE 6D DIRAC EQUATION

We now consider the 6-dimensional massless Dirac equation with the metric (1.1):

$$ib_{\bar{A}}^{A}\Gamma^{\bar{A}}D_A\Psi(x^A) = 0 \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where D_A denote covariant derivatives, $\Gamma^{\bar{A}}$ the 6-dimensional flat gamma matrices and $b_A^{\bar{A}}$ the sechsbein through the definition

$$g_{AB} = \eta_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}b_A^{\bar{A}}b_B^{\bar{B}} \ , \tag{3.2}$$

where $\bar{A},\bar{B},\cdots$ are local Lorentz indices.

In six dimensions a spinor

$$\Psi(x^A) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{3.3}$$

has eight components and is equivalent to a pair of 4-dimensional Dirac spinors, ψ and ξ. We use the following representation of the flat (8×8) gamma-matrices

$$\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}} = \gamma^{\bar{\mu}}\otimes\mathbf{1} \ , \quad \Gamma^{\bar{\theta}} = i\gamma_5\otimes\tau_1 \ , \quad \Gamma^{\bar{\theta}} = i\gamma_5\otimes\tau_2 \ , \tag{3.4}$$

where τ_i's are Pauli matrices. In the following for simplicity we drop the bars of γ matrices when no confusion will occur, i.e., $\gamma^{\bar{\mu}} = \gamma^{\mu}$, and $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$. They satisfy

$$\big\{\Gamma^{\bar{A}}\ ,\Gamma^{\bar{B}}\ \big\} = 2\eta^{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \ . \tag{3.5}$$

with $\eta^{\bar{A}\bar{B}} = (+1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1)$.

The sechsbein for our background metric (1.1) is given by

$$b_{\bar{A}}^{A} = \Big(\frac{1}{\phi}\delta_{\bar{\mu}}^{A},\frac{1}{a}\delta_{\bar{\theta}}^{A},\frac{1}{a\sin\theta}\delta_{\bar{\varphi}}^{A}\Big) \ . \tag{3.6}$$

From the definition of standard spin-connections the non-vanishing components for them can be found

$$\omega_{\nu}^{\bar{\theta}\bar{\mu}} = \frac{1}{a}\cos\theta\cos\varphi\delta_{\nu}^{\bar{\mu}} \ , \quad \omega_{\nu}^{\bar{\varphi}\bar{\mu}} = -\frac{1}{a}\sin\varphi\delta_{\nu}^{\bar{\mu}} \ , \quad \omega_{\varphi}^{\bar{\theta}\bar{\varphi}} = \cos\theta \ . \tag{3.7}$$

The Dirac equation (3.1) then reduces to

$$\Big[\frac{1}{\phi}\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}\partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{a}\Gamma^{\bar{\theta}}\big(\partial_{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\cot\theta + 2\cot\theta\big) + \frac{1}{a\sin\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{\varphi}}\big(\partial_{\varphi} - 2\tan\varphi\big)\Big]\Psi(x^A) = 0 \ . \tag{3.8}$$

If we put

$$\Psi(x^A) \equiv \frac{1}{\phi^2\sqrt{\sin\theta}}\tilde{\Psi}(x^\mu,\theta,\varphi) \ , \tag{3.9}$$

it follows that

$$\Big[\frac{1}{\phi}\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{a}\Gamma^{\bar{\theta}}\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{a\sin\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{\varphi}}\partial_\varphi\Big]\tilde{\Psi}(x^A) = 0 \ . \tag{3.10}$$

Define the operator $\hat{\nabla}$ by

$$\hat{\nabla} \equiv \big(\tau_1\partial_\theta + \tau_2\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\big) \ . \tag{3.11}$$

then Eq.(3.10) can be rewritten as

$$\Big(ia\phi^{-1}\gamma^\mu\otimes\mathbf{1}\partial_\mu - \gamma_5\otimes\hat{\nabla}\Big)\tilde{\Psi}(x^\mu,\theta,\varphi) = 0 \ . \tag{3.12}$$

Let us expand $\tilde{\Psi}(x^\mu,\theta,\varphi)$ into eigenfunctions of the chiral operator γ_5 as follows:

$$\tilde{\Psi}(x^\mu,\theta,\varphi) = \psi_R(x^\mu)f_+(\theta,\varphi) + \psi_L(x^\mu)f_-(\theta,\varphi) \ , \tag{3.13}$$

where $\gamma_5\psi_R(x^\mu) = \psi_R(x^\mu)$ and $\gamma_5\psi_L(x^\mu) = -\psi_L(x^\mu)$. If we use the Dirac equation with mass m in 4-dimensions,

$$i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi = m\psi \ , \tag{3.14}$$

or equivalently

$$i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_L = m\psi_R \ , \quad i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_R = m\psi_L \ , \tag{3.15}$$

it follows that

$$\hat{\nabla}f_\pm(\theta,\varphi) = \pm\frac{ma}{\phi}f_\mp(\theta,\varphi) \ . \tag{3.16}$$

These equations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} &-i\hat{\nabla}g_\pm(\theta,\varphi) = \pm\frac{ma}{\phi}g_\pm(\theta,\varphi) \ , \\ &g_\pm \equiv \frac{f_+ \mp if_-}{2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Here it should be noted that there is no zero mode ($m = 0$) for the 4D Dirac field $\psi(x^\mu)$, when $\phi \equiv 1$. This is because the Dirac operator $\hat{\nabla}$ is just the Dirac operator on the sphere, its eigenvalues are known to be non-zero

$$-i\hat{\nabla}g_\lambda(\theta,\varphi) = \lambda g_\lambda(\theta,\varphi) \ , \tag{3.18}$$

with $\lambda = \pm1, \pm2, \cdots$ [1, 2]. Here $g_\lambda(\theta,\varphi)$ is the spinor on the sphere. However, this is not always clear in the case of the 6D warped space. In the next section we would like to see how to fix the fermion mass.

IV. FERMION ON THE EXTRA 2D SPHERE

A. A finite mass formula in 4-dimensions

First we consider a case of $m \neq 0$, where m is the fermion mass in 4D. The zero mode solution will be considered in the separate subsection B.

We would like to solve Eqs.(3.17), i.e.,

$$-i\Big(\tau_1\partial_\theta + \tau_2\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\Big)g_\pm(\theta,\varphi) = \pm\frac{ma}{\sin\theta\cos\varphi}g_\pm(\theta,\varphi) \ . \tag{4.1}$$

This is a separable type of variables, so that we put

$$g_\pm(\theta,\varphi) \equiv \alpha_\pm(\theta)\begin{pmatrix} u_\pm(\varphi) \\ v_\pm(\varphi)\end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{4.2}$$

Then we have

$$\partial_\theta\alpha(\theta) = \frac{C_\pm}{\sin\theta}\alpha(\theta) \ , \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\big(\partial_\varphi - iC_\pm\big)u_\pm(\varphi) = \pm\frac{ma}{\cos\varphi}v_\pm(\varphi) \ , \tag{4.4}$$

$$\big(\partial_\varphi + iC_\pm\big)v_\pm(\varphi) = \mp\frac{ma}{\cos\varphi}u_\pm(\varphi) \ , \tag{4.5}$$

where $C_\pm$ is an arbitrary constant.

The first equation (4.3) can be solved generally as

$$\alpha(\theta) = \tan^{C_\pm}(\frac{\theta}{2}) \ . \tag{4.6}$$

Here a normalization factor is absorbed into $u_\pm$ and $v_\pm$.

From coupled equations (4.4) and (4.5) we have equations of the Schrodinger type,

$$\Big[\partial_\varphi^2 - \tan\varphi\partial_\varphi + iC_\pm\tan\varphi + C_\pm^2 + \frac{m^2a^2}{\cos^2\varphi}\Big]u_\pm(\varphi) = 0 \ . \tag{4.7}$$

$v_\pm(\varphi)$ are obtained by substituting the solutions $u_\pm(\varphi)$ into Eq. (4.4).
If we put

$$u(\varphi) \equiv e^{iC_\pm\varphi}h_\pm(z) \ , \tag{4.8}$$

The equations (4.7) reduce to

$$\Big[\partial_\varphi^2 + (2iC_\pm - \tan\varphi)\partial_\varphi + \frac{m^2a^2}{\cos^2\varphi}\Big]h_\pm(\varphi) = 0 \ . \tag{4.9}$$

In order that the wave functions $u_\pm(\varphi)$ are periodic functions of φ on the sphere, $C_\pm$ should take integer or half-integer values. If we introduce a variable z defined by

$$z \equiv \frac{1 + i\tan\varphi}{2} = \frac{e^{i\varphi}}{2\cos\varphi} \ . \tag{4.10}$$

The equations (4.9) reduce to

$$\big[z(1-z)\partial_z^2 + (C+\frac{1}{2}-z)\partial_z - m^2a^2\big]h(z) = 0 \ . \tag{4.11}$$

Here we have dropped suffices $\pm$. The general solution to Eq.(4.11) is given by Gauss's hypergeometric function $F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;z)$ with arbitrary constants A_h and B_h

$$h(\zeta) = A_h F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;z) + B_h(-z)^{1-\gamma}F(\beta-\gamma+1,\alpha-\gamma+1,2-\gamma;z) \ , \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\alpha = -\beta \equiv ima \ , \quad \gamma \equiv C + \frac{1}{2} \ . \tag{4.13}$$

We then consider the conserved current of fermion,

$$J^A = \bar{\psi}\Gamma^A\psi \ , \tag{4.14}$$

which satisfies the continuity equation

$$D_A J^A = 0 \ . \tag{4.15}$$

In order that the total charge is time-independent, we should require the boundary conditions, which are derived from the integral of Eq. (4.15) over some region V

$$\int_V d^6x\big(\sqrt{-g}D_A J^A\big) = \int_V d^6x\partial_A\big(\sqrt{-g}J^A\big) = 0 \ . \tag{4.16}$$

This equation reduces to

$$\int_V d^6x\big(\partial_\theta\tilde{J}^{\bar{\theta}} + \sin^{-1}\theta\partial_\varphi\tilde{J}^{\bar{\varphi}}\big) = \int_V d^4x\Big\{\int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} d\varphi\tilde{J}^{\bar{\theta}}\big|_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} + \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\theta\sin^{-1}\theta\tilde{J}^{\bar{\varphi}}\big|_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2}\Big\} = 0 \ . \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$\tilde{J}^{\bar{A}} = \bar{\tilde{\psi}}\Gamma^{\bar{A}}\tilde{\psi} \ , \tag{4.18}$$

φ_1,φ_2 and θ_1,θ_2 are boundary values. The first and second parts should vanish separately, i.e.,

$$\tilde{J}^{\bar{\theta}}\big|_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} = 0 \ , \tag{4.19}$$

$$\tilde{J}^{\bar{\varphi}}\big|_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} = 0, \tag{4.20}$$

Explicitly each current is given by

$$\tilde{J}^{\bar{\theta}} = \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x^\mu,\theta,\varphi)\Gamma^{\bar{\theta}}\tilde{\psi}(x^\mu,\theta,\varphi) = i\big[-\bar{\psi}_R\psi_L\cdot f_+^\dagger\tau_1 f_- + \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R\cdot f_-^\dagger\tau_1 f_+\big] \ . \tag{4.21}$$

$$\tilde{J}^{\bar{\varphi}} = \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x^\mu,\theta,\varphi)\Gamma^{\bar{\varphi}}\tilde{\psi}(x^\mu,\theta,\varphi) = i\big[-\bar{\psi}_R\psi_L\cdot f_+^\dagger\tau_2 f_- + \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R\cdot f_-^\dagger\tau_2 f_+\big] \ . \tag{4.22}$$

Since

$$f_+ = g_+ + g_- = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_+(\theta)u_+(\varphi) + \alpha_-(\theta)u_-(\varphi) \\ \alpha_+(\theta)v_+(\varphi) + \alpha_-(\theta)v_-(\varphi) \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{4.23}$$

$$f_- = i(g_+ - g_-) = i\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_+(\theta)u_+(\varphi) - \alpha_-u_-(\varphi) \\ \alpha_+(\theta)v_+(\varphi) - \alpha_-v_-(\varphi) \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{4.24}$$

with $\alpha_\pm = \tan^{C_\pm}\theta/2$, we get $C_\pm = 0$ from the boundary conditions (4.19), that is, $\alpha_\pm(\theta) = 1$. Hence $f_\pm$ become independent of θ, so that we have $\partial_\theta \tilde{J}^\theta = 0$. Since the 4d current conserves, i.e., $\partial_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu = 0$, from the 4d Dirac equation, the φ current turns out to be conserved by itself, i.e., $\partial_\varphi \tilde{J}^\varphi = 0$. This will be discussed later.

The equation (4.7) with $C_\pm = 0$ then becomes

$$\Big[\partial_\varphi^2 - \tan\varphi\partial_\varphi + \frac{m^2a^2}{\cos^2\varphi}\Big]u_\pm(\varphi) = 0 \ . \tag{4.25}$$

Introducing a variable

$$w(\varphi) \equiv \ln\frac{1+\sin\varphi}{\cos\varphi} = -w(-\varphi) \ , \quad w(\frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon) \simeq -\ln\varepsilon \ , \quad w(-\frac{\pi}{2}+\varepsilon) \simeq \ln\varepsilon \ , \tag{4.26}$$

where ε is infinitesimally small positive quantity, Eq.(4.25) reduces to

$$\Big[\partial_w^2 + k^2\Big]u_\pm(\varphi) = 0 \ , \quad k \equiv ma \neq 0 \ , \tag{4.27}$$

with a general solution

$$u_\pm = A_\pm e^{ikw(\varphi)} + B_\pm e^{-ikw(\varphi)} \ , \tag{4.28}$$

From Eq. (4.4) with $C_\pm = 0$, we have a useful formula between $u_\pm$ and $v_\pm$ as

$$v_\pm = \pm\frac{1}{k}\partial_w u_\pm \ , \quad k \neq 0 \ . \ , \tag{4.29}$$

The same equations (4.27) and (4.28) are also obtained from Eqs.(4.11) and (4.12) when $C_\pm = 0$.

We are now considering a region for $\theta - \varphi$ space by introducing positive small parameters ε and η

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_1 \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon \le \varphi \le \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \equiv \varphi_2 \ , \\ &\theta_1 \equiv \eta \le \theta \le \pi - \eta \equiv \theta_2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

The parameter ε corresponds with the fact that the fermion wave function cannot be well defined at $\varphi = \pm\pi/2$. So we consider nearby points $\varphi = \pm(\pi/2 - \varepsilon)$. As will be shown later, the parameters ε and η receive a quick response from the fermion back reaction. They will be fixed to be extremely small non-zero quantities, so that, in the regions above, the back reaction from the fermion can be neglected. We regard the other side of φ, i.e., $\pi/2 \le \varphi \le 3\pi/2$, to be a copy of the region $-\pi/2 \le \varphi \le \pi/2$.

Let us then derive the 4D mass formula of fermion. This is nothing but the bound state problem for wave functions $f_\pm(\theta, \varphi)$, which are now independent of θ and thereby given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} u_+(\varphi) + u_-(\varphi) \\ v_+(\varphi) + v_-(\varphi) \end{pmatrix} \ , \\ f_- &= i\begin{pmatrix} u_+(\varphi) - u_-(\varphi) \\ v_+(\varphi) - v_-(\varphi) \end{pmatrix} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Since $u_\pm(\varphi)$ satisfy the 2nd order differential equations (4.25) or (4.27), we require boundary conditions for $u_\pm(\varphi)$ as

$$u_\pm|_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0 \ . \tag{4.32}$$

It is not necessary to impose further boundary conditions upon the other component $v_\pm$, because $v_\pm$ can be expressed by $u_\pm$ through the formula Eqs.(4.29).

Substituting $u_\pm = A_\pm \exp(ikw) + B_\pm \exp(-ikw)$ into Eqs.(4.32) and using the odd function property $w(-\varphi) = -w(\varphi) = \ln\varepsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\pm e^{ikw} + B_\pm e^{-ikw} &= 0 \ , \\ A_\pm e^{-ikw} + B_\pm e^{ikw} &= 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

to lead

$$\begin{aligned} (A_\pm + B_\pm)\cos(kw) &= 0 \ , \\ (A_\pm - B_\pm)\sin(kw) &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} kw &= (n - \frac{1}{2})\pi \quad \text{when } A_\pm = B_\pm \ , \\ kw &= n\pi \quad \text{when } A_\pm = -B_\pm \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

where $w = -\ln\varepsilon$, $k = ma$ and $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \frac{\pi}{a|\ln\varepsilon|}(n - \frac{1}{2}) \ , \quad \text{when } A_\pm = B_\pm \ , \\ m &= \frac{\pi}{a|\ln\varepsilon|}n \ , \quad \text{when } A_\pm = -B_\pm \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

The same mass formula as above can also be obtained by another type of boundary conditions

$$v_\pm|_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = \pm\frac{1}{k}\partial_w u_\pm|_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0 \ . \tag{4.37}$$

more generally, by any linear combination between them, i. e.,

$$\alpha u_\pm + \beta\partial_w u_\pm|_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0 \ . \tag{4.38}$$

However, we should discard a type of $f_+|_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0$ (or $f_-|_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0$). This gives the same mass formula as above, but we are led to a meaningless result $A_\pm = B_\pm = 0$ from the constraints $H = 0$ (4.47) below.

The parameters ε and η are given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi| &\le \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \ , \quad \eta \le \theta \le \pi - \eta \ , \\ \varepsilon &= \big(\frac{a}{a_0}\big)^{1/3} \ , \quad \eta = \big(\frac{a}{a_0}\big)^{1/4} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

where a is the radius of internal 2D sphere with a unit length a_0 made ε, η dimensionless. Here we have assumed to be $a << a_0$. These inequalities come from the inequality

$$\big|T^{(b)}_{AB}\big| >> \big|T^{(f)}_{AB}\big| \ , \tag{4.40}$$

where $T^{(b)}_{AB}$ is the bulk energy-momentum tensor given by Eq.(2.11), while $T^{(f)}_{AB}$ is the fermion energy-momentum tensor. If the above inequality holds, the back reaction from the fermion may be

neglected. The detail will be discussed in the Appendix.

Substituting $\varepsilon = (a/a_0)^{1/3}$ into Eq.(4.36) we get the 4D fermion mass formula for non zero-mode

$$m = \frac{3\pi}{a|\ln(a/a_0)|} l \ , \tag{4.41}$$

where l takes positive integral or half-odd integral values. This formula is nothing but for the KK modes. However, as seen in the Appendix the fermion mass formula will be invalid for so large values l, because it is approaching to the 6D Planck mass.

Finally let us discuss the φ current conservation $\partial_\varphi \tilde{J}^\varphi = 0$ by itself. Not that

$$\tilde{J}^{\bar{\varphi}} = \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x^\mu, \theta, \varphi)\Gamma^{\bar{\varphi}}\tilde{\psi}(x^\mu, \theta, \varphi) = i\big[-\bar{\psi}_R\psi_L f_+^\dagger \tau_2 f_- + \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R f_-^\dagger \tau_2 f_+\big] \ , \tag{4.42}$$

where

$$f_+^\dagger \tau_2 f_- = (u_+^* v_+ - v_+^* u_+) - (u_-^* v_- - v_-^* u_-) - (u_+^* v_- - v_+^* u_-) + (u_-^* v_+ - v_-^* u_+) \ . \tag{4.43}$$

Here we have dropped the θ factor because of $|\alpha(\theta)|^2 = 1$. We can use the formulas (4.29) and $u_\pm = A_\pm \exp(ikw) + B_\pm \exp(-ikw)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} f_+^\dagger \tau_2 f_- = 2i\big[&|A_+|^2 - |B_+|^2 + |A_-|^2 - |B_-|^2 \\ &- A_+^* B_- e^{-2ikw} + B_+^* A_- e^{2ikw} + A_+ B_-^* e^{2ikw} - B_+ A_-^* e^{-2ikw}\big] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

The current J^φ, therefore, reduces to

$$\tilde{J}^{\bar{\varphi}} = 2\big(\bar{\psi}_R\psi_L - \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R\big)\big(He^{2ikw} - H^* e^{-2ikw}\big) + 2\big(\bar{\psi}_R\psi_L + \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R\big) I \ , \tag{4.45}$$

where

$$H = B_+^* A_- + B_-^* A_+ \ . \quad I = |A_+|^2 - |B_+|^2 + |A_-|^2 - |B_-|^2 \ . \tag{4.46}$$

From the continuity equation $\partial_\varphi \tilde{J}^\varphi = 0$, we have $\exp(2ikw)H + \exp(-2ikw)H^* = 0$. Since this equation holds for any w, we should have a constraint

$$H = B_+^* A_- + B_-^* A_+ = 0 \ . \tag{4.47}$$

B. The zero mode solution in 4-dimensions

We can put $m = 0$ in Eqs.(3.16) to lead equations

$$\hat{\nabla} f_\pm(\theta, \varphi) = \Big(\tau_1 \partial_\theta + \tau_2 \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\Big) f_\pm(\theta, \varphi) = 0 \ . \tag{4.48}$$

Separating variables by

$$f_\pm(\theta, \varphi) = \alpha_\pm(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} a_\pm \\ b_\pm \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{4.49}$$

we get

$$\partial_\theta \alpha(\theta) = \frac{C_\pm}{\sin\theta}\alpha(\theta) \ , \tag{4.50}$$

$$\partial_\varphi a_\pm(\varphi) = iC a_\pm(\varphi) \ , \tag{4.51}$$

$$\partial_\varphi b_\pm(\varphi) = -iC b_\pm(\varphi) \ , \tag{4.52}$$

General solutions to these equations are

$$\alpha(\theta) = \tan^{C_\pm} \frac{\theta}{2} \ , \tag{4.53}$$

$$a_\pm(\varphi) = P_\pm e^{iC_\pm \varphi} \ , \tag{4.54}$$

$$b_\pm(\varphi) = Q_\pm e^{-iC_\pm \varphi} \ , \tag{4.55}$$

where $P_\pm$ and $Q_\pm$ are some constants. Substituting these solutions into the current boundary conditions (4.19) we get again $C_\pm = 0$. Then the wave functions $f_\pm(\theta, \varphi)$ become constants, satisfying the boundary conditions (4.20) automatically.

To sum up, we have the zero mode constant solution ($m = 0$)

$$f_\pm(\theta, \varphi) = \alpha_\pm(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} a_\pm \\ b_\pm \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_\pm \\ Q_\pm \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{4.56}$$

where $P_\pm$ and $Q_\pm$ are some constants, subjecting to normalizations (regions of variables are given by Eqs. (4.30)).

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the 6D warped world with the extra 2D surface of a sphere, we have proposed an exactly solvable model for fermion masses. We have derived the finite mass formula for 4D fermion (4.41), with the zero mode ($m = 0$) solution (4.56).

The warp factor is given $\phi(\theta, \varphi) = \sin\theta \cos\varphi$, which is a solution to Einstein's equation with the bulk cosmological constant Λ and the energy-momentum tensor (2.3) of the bulk matter fields. Hence our metric has zeros of the warp factor at $\varphi = \pm\pi/2$ and $\theta = 0, \pi$. The internal wave functions then cannot be well defined at these zero points $\varphi = \pm\pi/2$. Therefore, we have put boundary conditions (4.19), (4.20) and also (4.32) not at $\varphi = \pm\pi/2$, but at nearby points $\varphi = \pm(\pi/2 - \varepsilon)$.

The mass formulas have been obtained from such modified boundary conditions with parameters ε and η, which are fixed from the requirement that the back reaction of the fermion should be neglected. Namely, in the regions

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon \le \varphi \le \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \ , \quad \eta \le \theta \le \pi - \eta \ , \tag{5.1}$$

the back reaction of the fermion should be neglected. These parameters are given by $\varepsilon = (a/a_0)^{1/3}$ and $\eta = (a/a_0)^{1/4}$, where a is the radius of the extra 2D sphere with a unit length a_0.

The internal function has a form of $u = e^{iC\varphi}h$. When C is real and takes half-integer values, u is 4π-periodic, regarded as a spinor on the sphere. However, this possibility fails, C eventually becomes zero from the condition (4.19). The internal function u cannot be the 4π-periodic spinor on the sphere, but the function $\psi(x)$ is the spinor in the four-dimensional space [5].

The normalization integral for non-zero mode wave functions is given by

$$I = \int d^3x d\theta d\varphi \sqrt{-g}\psi^\dagger\psi = \int d^5x \left[\psi_R^\dagger \psi_R f_+^\dagger f_+ + \psi_L^\dagger \psi_L f_-^\dagger f_-\right] \ , \tag{5.2}$$

$$f_\pm^\dagger f_\pm = 2\left[|A_+|^2 + |A_-|^2 + |B_+|^2 + |B_-|^2 \pm \left(He^{2ikw} + H^* e^{-2ikw}\right)\right] \ .$$

Since $H = 0$ according to the constraint (4.47), then the integral is convergent.

Our model provides another possibility of obtaining fermion zero mode, rather than traditional model based on Dirac's monopole.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our deep gratitude to T. Okamura for many valuable discussions.

Appendix A: Constraints from the back reaction of fermion

The fermion energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$T^{(f)}_{AB} \equiv \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\big(\Gamma_A \overrightarrow{D}_B - \overleftarrow{D}_B\Gamma_A\big)\psi - g_{AB}\frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\big(\Gamma^M\overrightarrow{D}_M - \overleftarrow{D}_M\Gamma^M\big)\psi \ , \tag{A1}$$

where the second term becomes zero for the 6D massless fermion. Substituting $\psi = (\phi^2\sin^{1/2}\theta)^{-1}\tilde{\psi}$ into Eq.(A.1) we have for the $\mu\nu$ component

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(f)}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{2\phi^3\sin\theta}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}\big(\Gamma_{\bar{\mu}}\overrightarrow{D}_{\bar{\nu}} - \overleftarrow{D}_{\bar{\nu}}\Gamma_{\bar{\mu}}\big)\tilde{\psi} \qquad (A2)\\ &= \frac{i}{2\phi^3\sin\theta}\big\{\bar{\tilde{\psi}}\big(\Gamma_{\bar{\mu}}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\bar{\mu}}\Gamma_{\bar{\nu}} - \frac{\cos\theta\cos\varphi}{2a}\big[\Gamma_{\bar{\mu}},\ \Gamma_{\bar{\nu}}\big]\Gamma_{\bar{\theta}} + \frac{\sin\varphi}{2a}\big[\Gamma_{\bar{\mu}},\ \Gamma_{\bar{\nu}}\big]\Gamma_{\bar{\varphi}}\big)\tilde{\psi}\big\}\\ &= \frac{1}{2\phi^3\sin\theta}\big\{\tilde{t}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} - \frac{\cos\theta\cos\varphi}{2a}\tilde{s}^{\theta}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} + \frac{\sin\varphi}{2a}\tilde{s}^{\varphi}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}\big\} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} &\equiv i\bar{\psi}_R(\gamma_\mu\overrightarrow{\partial_\nu} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_\nu\gamma_\mu)\psi_R\cdot f^\dagger_+ f_+ + i\bar{\psi}_L(\gamma_\mu\overrightarrow{\partial_\nu} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_\nu\gamma_\mu)\psi_L\cdot f^\dagger_- f_- \ . \qquad (A3)\\ \tilde{s}^{\theta}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} &\equiv \bar{\psi_R}\big[\gamma_{\bar{\mu}},\ \gamma_{\bar{\nu}}\big]\psi_L\cdot f^\dagger_+\tau_1 f_- - \bar{\psi_L}\big[\gamma_{\bar{\mu}},\ \gamma_{\bar{\nu}}\big]\psi_R\cdot f^\dagger_-\tau_1 f_+ \ .\\ \tilde{s}^{\varphi}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} &\equiv \bar{\psi_R}\big[\gamma_{\bar{\mu}},\ \gamma_{\bar{\nu}}\big]\psi_L\cdot f^\dagger_+\tau_2 f_- - \bar{\psi_L}\big[\gamma_{\bar{\mu}},\ \gamma_{\bar{\nu}}\big]\psi_R\cdot f^\dagger_-\tau_2 f_+ \ . \end{aligned}$$

Then from the inequality (4.40) we are enough to check only for diagonal parts

$$\frac{3\Lambda}{10} >> \frac{1}{|2\phi^3\sin\theta|}|\tilde{t}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\mu}}| \ , \tag{A4}$$

which reduces to

$$|\sin^4\theta\cos^3\varphi| >> \frac{5}{3\Lambda}|\tilde{t}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\mu}}| \ . \tag{A5}$$

Since the 4D energy-momentum tensor element $\tilde{t}_{\mu\mu}$ may be extremely smaller than the 6D Planck mass, i.e.

$$|\tilde{t}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\mu}}| << \frac{1}{\kappa_6^2 a_0^2} \ , \tag{A6}$$

where a_0 is a unit length with the inequality $a/a_0 << 1$. This reduces to

$$\frac{5|\tilde{t}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\mu}}|}{3\Lambda} << \frac{5}{3\Lambda\kappa_6^2 a_0^2} = \frac{1}{6}\big(\frac{a}{a_0}\big)^2 \ , \tag{A7}$$

by using Eq.(2.9). Hence we get inequalities

$$|\sin^4\theta\cos^3\varphi| \geq \frac{1}{6}\big(\frac{a}{a_0}\big)^2 >> \frac{5}{3\Lambda}|\tilde{t}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\mu}}| \ . \tag{A8}$$

For another component we have

$$T_{55}^{(f)} = \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\big(\Gamma_\theta \overrightarrow{D}_\theta - \overleftarrow{D}_\theta\Gamma_\theta\big)\psi = \frac{i}{2\phi^4\sin\theta}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}\big(\Gamma_{\bar\theta}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\bar\theta} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\bar\theta}\Gamma_{\bar\theta}\big)\tilde{\psi} \ , \tag{A9}$$

From Eq.(4.3) with $C_\pm = 0$, we have

$$T_{55}^{(f)} = 0 \ , \tag{A10}$$

Hence Eq.(A10) is satisfied with the inequality (4.40)

For the 66 component we get

$$T_{66}^{(f)} = \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\big(\Gamma_\varphi \overrightarrow{D}_\varphi - \overleftarrow{D}_\varphi\Gamma_\varphi\big)\psi = i\frac{a}{2\phi^4}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}\big(\Gamma_{\bar\varphi}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\bar\varphi} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\bar\varphi}\Gamma_{\bar\varphi}\big)\tilde{\psi} \ , \tag{A11}$$

Using Eq.(3.16) we get

$$T_{66}^{(f)} = \frac{ma^2}{\phi^4\cos\varphi}N \ , \tag{A12}$$

where $N = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi_R}\psi_L + \bar{\psi_L}\psi_R)(f_+^\dagger f_+ + f_-^\dagger f_-) \sim O(1)$.
Near $\varphi = \pm\pi/2$, the inequality becomes

$$\frac{6a^2\Lambda\sin^2\theta}{10\phi^2} >> \frac{ma^2|N|}{\phi^4|\cos\varphi|} \ . \tag{A13}$$

This reduces to

$$|\sin^4\theta\cos^3\varphi| >> \frac{10m|N|}{6\Lambda} = \frac{m|N|a^2\kappa_6^2}{6} \ . \tag{A14}$$

Since the energy term $m|N|$ is considered to be extreamly smaller than the 6D Planck mass $(1/\kappa_6^2 a_0^2)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_6^2 a_0^2} >> m|N| \ ,$$

that is,

$$1 >> \kappa_6^2 a_0^2 m|N| \equiv \lambda \ , \tag{A15}$$

Substituting this into (A14) we get

$$|\sin^4\theta\cos^3\varphi| \geq \frac{1}{6}\big(\frac{a}{a_0}\big)^2 >> \frac{\lambda}{6}\big(\frac{a}{a_0}\big)^2 \ . \tag{A16}$$

This is the same form as Eq.(A8). Henceforth we neglect the facter (1/6) in both equations.

Since $a/a_0 << 1$, the region of $|\sin^4\theta| \times |\cos^3\varphi|$ may be approximated by the square region of $|\sin^4\theta| \geq (a/a_0)$ and $|\cos^3\varphi| \geq (a/a_0)$. Therefore we reach a conclusion that

$$|\varphi| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \ , \quad \varepsilon = (a/a_0)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{A17}$$

$$\eta \leq \theta \leq \pi - \eta \ , \quad \eta = (a/a_0)^{\frac{1}{4}} \ . \tag{A18}$$

From Eq.(A15) we see that the fermion mass formula will be invalid for so large values l, because it is approaching to the 6D Planck mass.

---

[1] R. Camporesi and A. Higuchi, *J. Geom. Phys.* **20**, 1 (1996).

[2] A. A. Abrikosov, Jr., *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A17**, 885 (2002).
[3] Y. Fujii, *Introduction to supergravity*, Sangyo Tokyo, 76 (2005).
[4] B. Cheng and C. Ford, *Fermion Zero Modes for Abelian BPS Monopoles*, arXiv:1302.2939. Other references are therein.
[5] M. Gogberashvili and D. Singleton, *Phys. Rev.* **D69**, 026004 (2004).
[6] S. Aguilar and D. Singleton, *Phys. Rev.* **D73**, 085007 (2006).
[7] M. Gogberashvili, P. Midodashvili and D. Singleton, arXiv:hep-th/0706.0676.
[8] S. L. Parameswaran, S. Randjbar-Daemi, and A. Salvio, *Nucl. Phys.* **B767** 54 (2007).
[9] M. Williams, C. P. Burgess, L. van Nierop, and A. Salvio, *JHEP* **1301** 102 (2013).
[10] S. Chakraborty and S. SenGupta, *Phys. Rev.* **D89**, 126001 (2014).
[11] A. Das, R. S. Hundi, S. SenGupta, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 116003 (2011).
[12] R. Koley, J. Mitra, S. SenGupta, *Phys. Rev.* **D78**, 045005 (2008).
[13] D. Choudhury, S. SenGupta *Phys. Rev.* **D76**, 064030 (2007).
[14] R. Lawrance, *Nucl. Phys.* **B886** 288 (2014);
[15] Z. Guo, B. Ma, *JHEP* **0808** 065 (2008).
[16] C. Biggio, F. Feruglio, I. Masina, M. Perez-Victoria *JHEP* **0309** 038 (2003).
[17] H. Steinacker, G. Zoupanos, *JHEP* **0709** 017 (2007).
[18] P. Kanti, R. Madden and K. A. Olive, arXiv:hep-th/0104177.
[19] I. Oda, *Phys. Lett.* **B571**, 235 (2003).